

0040-4020(93)E0208-W

## A General Strategy Using η<sup>2</sup>Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub> Acetylene Complexes for the Synthesis of the Enediyne Antitumor Agents Esperamicin, Calicheamicin, Dynemicin and Neocarzinostatin

### **Philip Magnus**

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712

Abstract: A review of the use of  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene complexes as stable intermediates for the construction of the core structures of the antitumor enediyne agents esperamicin, calicheamicin, dynemicin and neocarzinostatin from the authors laboratory is presented.

### Introduction

In the 1970's and 1980's it appeared that natural product chemistry and the synthesis of natural products was losing some of its previous momentum. The enormous structural diversity of secondary metabolites was confined to terpenoids, alkaloids and acetogenins, and very few dramatically different structural variations were evident. The degree of synthetic sophistication, particularly in the terpenoid area, had reached such a level that it was felt that any molecule could be synthesized, and moreover, the main reactions and strategies of organic synthesis had been discovered. If this were true, it suggests that the level of predictability of organic synthesis had reached a very reliable level and was in danger of becoming a routine tool. All pursuits of knowledge and discovery are destined to be subjected to periods of relative quietness, but provided we view science optimistically and are not prophets of doom, new challenges will arrive and new discoveries will be made.

It was in 1987 that the Lederle<sup>1</sup> and Bristol-Myers<sup>2</sup> groups reported the exciting and unprecedented structures of calicheamicin  $\gamma_1$  1, esperamicin A<sub>1</sub> 2, A<sub>1b</sub> 3,  $A_2$  4 and the metabolite esperamicin X 5, Scheme 1. They were isolated from fermentations of Micromonospora echinospora sp. calichensis, and cultures of Actinomadura verrucosospora BBM 1675, ATCC 39334 respectively. Presently, these compounds are the most potent antitumor antibiotics known, being approximately  $10^3$  more active than adriamycin against murine tumors, and represent a new class of natural products based upon the Z-enediyne functionality. More recently the antitumor antibiotic dynemic in 6 can be added to the growing list of enediyne It also exhibits extraordinarily potent antimicrobial and natural products.<sup>3</sup> antitumor activity. Related to the esperamicin-calicheamicin enediynes is the compound called neocarzinostatin chromophore A 7,4 and very recently the neocarzinostatin-like compound kedarcidin 8 has been reported.<sup>5</sup>

1397



Because of their unique structures, and beautifully designed<sup>1-6</sup> mechanism of DNA cleavage, the esperamicins, calicheamicins, dynemicin and neocarzinostatin CA have immediately attracted a great deal of synthetic interest.<sup>7</sup> While the esperamicins-calicheamicins contain a number of unusual structural features, it is the Z-enediyne that embues these molecules with a unique mechanism for cleaving DNA. Without going into the details, since there has been extensive discussion of the *in vitro* mechanism of action of these compounds, the enediyne functionality in

calicheamicin, esperamicin and dynemicin can, after an appropriate triggering event (bioreduction), undergo so-called Bergman cyclization (cycloaromatization) to the diradical 10 (*p*-benzyne or 1,4-diyl). It is this diradical that is the supposed culprit which causes damage to DNA. The prototype reaction is shown in Scheme 2.8



When we first started this investigation nothing was known about the stability of the bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecaenediyne system with respect to cycloaromatization. Consequently, we adopted a strategy that would allow us to assess the relative stabilities of some simple bicyclic enediynes with respect to their potential for cycloaromatization. If they are stable, what chemistry can be carried out on them without causing cycloaromatization? The overall strategy is outlined in **Scheme 3**.



We envisioned that a preformed enediyne unit 11 could be added to the 1,4dione derivative 12, and in a single step to provide 13. Ionization of 13 to the propargyl cation 13a followed by enol(ate) trapping to give 14 should, in principle, be a short route to the core bicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne system. Similarly, the 1,2-dione derivative 15 would allow access to the isomeric series of compounds 16 and 17. At this stage we had no preconceived notion as to the stability of either 14 or 17, and decided that it might be expedient to make them as their dicobalthexacarbonyl-acetylene complexes 20 and 23 respectively, which should be isolable adducts. In a separate step the oxidative decomplexation can be studied to assess the relative ease of cycloaromatization of the enediynes 14 and 17. This strategy would allow us to take advantage of the dicobalthexacarbonyl-acetylene complexation chemistry.

A very convenient way to generate the propargylic cation-type intermediates 19/22 is to make use of the  $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl alkyne complexes 18/21, which have been shown by Nicholas and Pettit to ionize to a stabilized cation when treated with Brönsted or Lewis acids.<sup>9</sup> A further possible benefit of the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -alkyne complexes is that they bend the normal linear diagonally hybridized acetylene triple-bond to approximately 145°. In an axial conformation the propargylic cation is situated with near to axial alignment to the enol derivative 19/22  $\pi$ -system, Scheme 4.

Scheme 4



Finally, if successful, the corresponding bicyclo[7.3.1]tridecaenediynes 14/17 will be formed as their mono- $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$  complexes 20/23, and therefore prevent cycloaromatization until the  $Co_2(CO)_6$  cap is removed, Scheme 4. A major consideration in this strategy is the regiochemistry of the complexation of 13 and 16 with dicobalt octacarbonyl. Usually  $Co_2(CO)_8$  forms more stable  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene complexes with electron-deficient acetylenes. While there were no studies that described the complexation of separated diacetylenes with  $Co_2(CO)_8$ , we expected that the sterically less encumbered acetylene to be preferentially complexed. The first

substrate we studied was the 2-keto system 13.

```
2-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecaenediyne System.
```



Treatment of 13 with  $Co_2(CO)_8$  in heptane at room temperature resulted in complexation predominately at the sterically less hindered acetylenic bond to give 18 (90%). Small amounts of the  $Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene regioisomer and its bis- $Co_4(CO)_{1,2}$ complex are also formed. Our initial attempts to ionize 18 to the cation 19 and intramolecular trapping to give 20 were not particularly encouraging. Using a variety of Lewis acids and reaction conditions completely destroyed 18. Eventually. we found that TiCl<sub>4</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at -50°C gave a small amount of 20 (ca. 5%). At lower temperatures there is no reaction, and at higher temperatures 18 and 20 were destroyed. We thought that the formation of HCl under the above reaction conditions might be responsible for the low yield of 20, consequently the treatment of 18 with TiCl<sub>4</sub> was carried out in the presence of triethylamine. These conditions resulted in a This provided good evidence that reasonably clean conversion into the adduct 24. indeed the cation 19 is formed, and in the presence of triethylamine is reduced by hydride transfer to generate 24. The obvious solution was to conduct the same reaction in the presence of a base that cannot donate hydride, such as 4-diazabicyclo [2.2.2]octane (DABCO). Treatment of 18 with TiCl4/DABCO/-50°C gave the required bicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne-10,11- $\eta^2$ -dicobalt-hexacarbonyl adduct 20 (45%), Scheme 5. It should be noted that attempts to ionize the uncomplexed enediyne 13 using the above reaction conditions resulted in decomposition and no evidence for the formation of 14 and/or the cycloaromatized product 25.10

Oxidative decomplexation of 20 in 1,4-cyclohexadiene using N-methyl morpholine N-oxide at 20 °C rapidly gave 25 (42%), presumably *via* the uncomplexed 2-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne 14. At lower temperatures (-20 °C) we could detect 14 (<sup>1</sup>H NMR and tlc) but it could not be isolated.

While this initial investigation established the viability of the strategy, the ease of aromatization precluded further examination of the chemistry of the enediyne 14. Consequently we decided to examine the isomeric 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne core 17.

### 13-Ketobicyclo[7.3.1]tridecaenediyne System.

The substrate 16 was made in a similar sequence to 13 except the starting material is cyclohexane-1,2-dione. Treatment of 16 with  $Co_2(CO)_8$ /heptane at room temperature resulted in complexation predominately at the sterically less hindered acetylenic bond to give 21 (90%). Treatment of 21 with TiCl<sub>4</sub>/DABCO/-43° to -35°C gave the required bicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne-10,11- $\eta^2$ -dicobalt-hexacarbonyl adduct 23 (55%) as a crimson crystalline solid, Scheme 6.

Scheme 6



The structure of 23 was secured by single crystal X-ray crystallography. The newly formed carbon-carbon bond  $(C_1-C_{12})$  is axial (with respect to the cyclohexanone ring) and consequently the hydrogen atom at  $C_1$  is in an equatorial configuration. Oxidative decomplexation of 23 using iodine/THF at room temperature gave the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne 17 (82%) as a stable crystalline solid. The best method we have found for cyclization is to treat the alcohol 26 with triflic anhydride in dichloromethane at -10°C in the presence of 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylpyridine, the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne- $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl adduct 23 was isolated in 77% yield. Consequently, the route shown in Scheme 6 provides the best overall yield of the 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne- $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl

adduct 23 (10% from cyclohexane-1,2-dione).<sup>11</sup>

Initial qualitative experiments readily showed that the 13-bicyclo[7.3.1] enediyne 17 is considerably more resistant to cycloaromatization than the 2-ketoisomer 14. While we could not isolate 14, 17 is a stable crystalline compound below 80°C. At 80°C, in 1,4-cyclohexadiene, 17 is converted into the aromatic adduct 27 (72%) via the 1,4-diyl 17a, Scheme 7.

Scheme 7



The crystalline 13-ketobicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne 17 has been characterized by X-ray crystallography, r = 3.39Å, in excellent agreement with calculations (3.41Å, MM2).

The five-membered ring analogue of 17, namely 12-ketobicyclo[7.2.1]enediyne 31, was readily made in the same way except the starting material was cyclopentane-1,2-dione, Scheme 8. The oxime 32 was characterized by X-ray crystallography.<sup>12</sup>

Scheme 8 (R = TBS)



 $\eta^2$ -Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>-Alkyne Mediated Aldol Reaction: 12 $\beta$ -Hydroxyl Functionality.

The aldehyde 33 was regiospecifically complexed with  $Co_2(CO)_8$  to give 34 (>95%). When 34 was treated with *n*-Bu<sub>2</sub>BOTf/DABCO/NEt<sub>3</sub>/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>-THF the aldol product 35 was isolated as a single stereoisomer (45%, via 34a). When 35 was treated with N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide/THF/t-BuOH/RT the non-aromatized enediyne 36 was isolated in 76% yield. The 9-H to 12 $\alpha$ -H proton-proton coupling is 1.59 Hz which corresponds very closely to that observed in the natural products 1 (1.8 Hz). The synclinal aldol  $\eta^2Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene mediated stereospecific synthesis of the 12 $\beta$ -hydroxybicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne system 36 is the only method for cyclization that gives entirely the correct 12 $\beta$ -configuration at the newly formed sechydroxyl group, Scheme 9.<sup>13</sup>



Unfortunately, the above aldol reaction is difficult to reproduce in the same yield, most likely because of the reversibility of the aldol process. At this stage we needed to introduce the  $12\beta$ -hydroxyl group and the 1,2-double bond, and make the introduction of the enediyne portion convergent. The sequence shown in **Scheme 10** represents an optimized route to the enone 42. In a single step, the lithioenediyne from 38 is added to the 1,2-diketone and the enolate (after TBDMS migration) is trapped with allyl chloroformate to give the allyl carbonate 39 in 90% yield on a large scale (>100g). Palladium diacetate catalyzed oxidation of 39 gave the enone 40, which was deprotected to the alcohol 41, Scheme 10.<sup>14</sup>



Treatment of the enediyne 41 with dicobaltoctacarbonyl gave 42 (85%) and its regioisomer (14%). The incorrect regioisomer can be recycled by oxidation using ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN) to give 41. Oxidation of the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -propargyl alcohol complex gave the aldehyde 43. It should be noted that the aldehyde is more stable as the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene complex than as the free aldehyde. The  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene complex are air stable and can be chromatographed without significant decomposition, Scheme 11.

After considerable experimentation it was found that treatment of 43 with  $Me_2AlSPh$  at -70 °C followed by  $Ti(O^iPr)_4$ , and quenching the mixture with silica gel, resulted in the bicyclo[7.3.1]enediyne cobalt adduct 44 as a single stereoisomer. This ring closure gives exclusively the correct stereochemistry at the C-12 hydroxyl group. Oxidation of the sulfide 44 using dimethyldioxirane (DMDO) followed by decomplexation (CAN) gave the enone 45. While the enediyne 45 might be viewed as a model compound, we have been able to oxidize it to the 1,4-dione 46 and convert this in a single step into the enamine 47. This compound contains all of the core functionality, and only the allylic trisulfide group remains to be attached, Scheme 11.

Scheme 11



The  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -Acetylene Complex Route to the Dynemicin Core Structure We have extended the chemistry developed for the esperamicins and calicheamicins to the synthesis of the dynemicin core structure. Treatment of the *t*-butyldimethylsilyl ether of 6-methoxy-3-hydroxyquinoline **48** with the magnesio enediyne acetylide in the presence of adamantyl chloroformate gave, in a completely regiospecific reaction, the dihydroquinoline 49 (71%), Scheme 12.<sup>15</sup> Selective deprotection of the THP ether to give 50 (86%) was accomplished using pyridinium tosylate/EtOH).<sup>16</sup> Complexation of 50 with Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> gave 51 (65%) along with some complexation at the other acetylene (ca. 15%) and *bis*-complexation. The incorrect regioisomer can be recycled. Treatment of 51 with triflic anhydride/(DBMP) in (CH<sub>3)2</sub>CHNO<sub>2</sub> at -10 °C gave the cyclized product 52 (50%). It is essential to use a nitroalkane solvent; dichloromethane alone gave a symmetrical ether derived from 51. Oxidative decomplexation using ceric ammonium nitrate gave the core structure 53 (50-68% overall from 51).<sup>17</sup>



The adamantyl carbamate protecting group survives the above reaction conditions intact, and is readily removed by treatment of 53 with trifluoroacetic acid in dichloromethane to give the stable amine 54. The amine 54 shows promising antitumor activity, and is available in gram quantities in six steps, Scheme 12.

# The $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -Acetylene Complex Route to the Neocarzinostatin Core Structure

The cobalt mediated aldol cyclization can also be used for the construction of the neocarzinostatin core structure. The aldehyde 55 was made by a short sequence similar to those described in for the other  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene-complexes. Treatment of the aldehyde 55 with di-*n*-butylboron triflate/Et<sub>3</sub>N gave the cyclized aldol product 56 (69%). As expected, when the cobalt metallocycle was oxidatively removed (N-methylmorpholine-N-oxide, NMMO) the enediyne 57 was too unstable to be isolated and immediately cycloaromatized to give the compound 59, Scheme 13.<sup>18</sup>



Removal of the BBu<sub>2</sub> group from **56** and attempted epoxidation of the allylic double-bond was unsuccessful. Consequently, the epoxide functionality must be introduced at an earlier stage in the synthesis, and this poses an awkward reactivity problem. The 8,9-bond is made by a  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -mediated aldol reaction under Lewis acid catalysis conditions. It would be surprising if the 4,5-epoxide could survive these conditions and not open to the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -stabilized cation, with concomitant release of ring-strain.

Consequently, we were interested to see if an epoxide would be stable enough to be carried through the reaction sequence shown in Scheme 14. At the outset, it was decided not to conduct any model work to see if simpler compounds that contain an epoxide adjacent to the cobalt metallocycle would be stable. This proved to be a wise (in retrospect) decision, because the transformations shown in Scheme 14 work for the real system, but so-called simple epoxyacetylenes do not form isolable



 $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene adducts such as 61.<sup>19</sup>

The epoxide 60 is a 1:1 mixture of inseparable diastereomers with an estimated enantiomeric excess of ca. 70%. Complexation of 60 with Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>8</sub> gave the adduct 61 (75%), which allowed the activated diethyl acetal to be hydrolyzed by treatment with aqueous trifluoroacetic acid in chloroform to give 62 (63%). Premixing n-Bu2BOTf/Et3N/ CH2Cl2 at -78°C, warming to 0°C, followed by slow addition of 62 at 0°C, and warming to 25°C, resulted in conversion into the cyclized aldol adduct 63 (45%). At this stage the diastereomers could be separated (plc), although we do not know which one has the stereochemistry represented in Oxidative decomplexation of 63 with I2/PhH gave 65 (69-75%) as a structure 63. stable compound both in solution and as a solid at room temperature. Treatment of 63 with mesyl chloride/Et<sub>3</sub>N/DMAP dehydrated the aldol product to give the enone The compound 65 is the most highly functionalized 64, Scheme 14. bicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadiyne neocarzinostatin core structure synthesized to-date, and illustrates the surprising compatibility of 4,5-epoxide to the cyclization conditions. This is more dramatically demonstrated by the following subsequent models.

Attempted complexation of 66 with  $Co_2(CO)_8$  gave 67 which was extremely unstable towards acidic conditions, decomposing to polar material, presumably formed from opening of the epoxide ring. Interestingly, treatment of 68 with

 $C_{02}(CO)_8$ /heptane gave the fragmented adduct 71 as the only cobalt containing material. Its formation presumably arises from 69 via ring opening of the epoxide to give 70, and elimination resulting in 71.



#### Summary

In all of the above  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene mediated cyclizations the blank reaction without the cobalt metallocycle were unsuccessful. Either the substrate was completely destroyed or there was no reaction. The routes to the core enediynes are all quite short, and make available sufficient material for the more meaningful *in vivo* biological evaluations.

### Acknowledgments.

This research was started in 1988 by Dr. Paul Carter. During the past five years the following coworkers have contributed to the development of this research, Dr. Richard Lewis, Dr. Simon Fortt, Dr. David Parry, Dr. Jason Elliott, Dr. John Harling, Theodore Iliadis, Shane Eisenbeis, Dr. Frank Bennett, Dr. Robin Fairhurst, Dr. Didier Grandjean, Dr. Mark Taylor, Dr. Thomas Pitterna, Dr. William Bauta and Dr. Martin Davies. They are all warmly thanked for their skill and patience. The National Institutes of Health, National Science Foundation, Bristol-Myers Squibb and the Robert A. Welch Foundation are thanked for their support of this research. Dr. John Huffman (Indiana University, Bloomington) and Dr. Vince Lynch (University of Texas at Austin) are thanked for the X-ray crystal structures. The SERC/NATO and NIH are thanked for post-doctoral fellowship awards. Dr. James P. Snyder (Drug Design, Searle Research and Development) is thanked for many useful discussions concerning the rate studies, and Professor Samuel Danishefsky for exchange of information prior to publication. Dr's T. W. Doyle and J. Kadow (Bristol-Myers Squibb) are thanked for helpful exchanges of information particularly the conversion of 43 into 45.

### **Experimental** Section

2-Keto-5-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-6,10diyn-(10,11-n<sup>2</sup>-dicobalthexacarbonyl)-8-ene 20. To a stirred solution of the enol ether 18 (240 mg, 322 µmol) and DABCO (36.1 mg, 322 µmol, freshly distilled) in dichloromethane (24 mL) at -78 °C was added a 1.0 M solution of TiCl<sub>4</sub> in After 1.5 h the mixture was warmed over 0.5 h to -50 dichloromethane (1.93 mL).  $^{\circ}$ C and recooled to -78 $^{\circ}$ C. Triethylamine (5 mL) was added to quench the mixture (at -78 °C), and the solution warmed to room temperature. Saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (15 mL) was added and the mixture filtered through celite washing with dichloromethane. The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with dichloromethane (2x5 mL) and the combined organic phases washed with brine (5 mL) and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation in vacuo gave a residue that was preadsorbed onto silica gel and chromatographed eluting with petroleum ether/ether (4:1) to give 20 (86 mg, 45%) as a deep red oil. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 2960, 2930, 2860, 2095, 2050, 2020, 1710, 1080, 1050 and 835 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  6.88 (1H, d, J = 9.4 Hz), 5.64 (1H, d, J = 9.4 Hz), 3.20 (2H, m), 2.7 (2H, m), 2.3 (4H, m), 0.92 (9H, s), 0.26 (3H, s), 0.18 (3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 209.52 (s), 198.74-199.00 (m), 142.69 (d), 109,50 (d), 102.70 (s), 99.28 (s), 88.63 (s), 83.11 (s), 69.78 (s), 56.64 (d), 45.42 (t), 41.09 (t), 36.81 (t), 35.36 (t), 25.84 (q), 18.28 (s), -3.10 (q). MS (CI, NH<sub>3</sub>) m/e 544 corresponding to M+-2CO's, base peak m/e 460, M+-5CO's. Running the above reaction of 18 (410 mg) gave 20 (186 mg, 56%).

1-[tert-Butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]tricyclo[7.3.10<sup>2</sup>,7]trideca-2,4,6trien-10-one 25. To a stirred solution of the cobalt complex 20 (23 mg, 38.3  $\mu$ mol) in cyclohexa-1,4-diene (1 mL) at 20 °C was added N-methylmorpholine N-oxide (11.2 mg, 95.8  $\mu$ mol). After 3h a further quantity (15 mg, 128 mmol) of the N-oxide was added. The mixture was diluted with dichloromethane (2 x 5 mL) and the combined organic phases washed with brine and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation *in vacuo* and chromatography of the residue over silica gel eluting with petroleum ether/ether (4:1) gave 25 (5.1 mg, 42%) as a colorless oil. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 1720 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.35-7.19 (4H, m), 3.37 (1H, dd, J's = 9.0 and 17.4 Hz), 2.82 (1H, m), 2.67 (1H, dd, J's = 6.2 and 15.7 Hz), 2.59 (1H, m), 2.52 (1H, dd, J's = 5.2 and 17.4 Hz), 2.31 (2H, m), 2.16 (2H, m), 0.87 (9H, s), -0.06 (3H, s), 0.19 (3H, s). HRMS calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si(-*t*-Bu) 259.1155. Found m/e 259.1155 for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>19</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si (M+-*t*-Bu).

13-Keto-5-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-6,10diyn-(10,11- $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl)-8-ene 23. To a mixture the cobalt complex 21 (1.455 g) and sublimed DABCO (220 mg, 1.0 equiv) was added via canula dry toluene (200 mL). The mixture was cooled to -45 °C (CH<sub>3</sub>CN/solid CO<sub>2</sub>) and a solution of TiCl<sub>4</sub> (freshly distilled, 650 µL, 3.0 equiv) in toluene (5 mL) was added dropwise as the temperature rose to -40 °C. The solution was stirred efficiently (bath and reaction) until the external thermometer indicates -35 °C. Triethylamine (7 mL) was added to the mixture followed by saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (70 mL). The mixture was allowed to warm to 20 °C, filtered through celite, and the dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) organic layer evaporated in vacuo. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel eluting with 5% ether/petroleum ether gave 23 (650 mg, 55.6%) as blackred crystals. M.p. 109-110 °C (sealed capillary). IR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 2935, 2858, 2095, 2020, 1730, 1150, 940 and 780 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.99 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 5.75 (1H, d, J = 9.8 Hz), 4.23 (1H, m), 3.23 (1H, m), 3.20 (1H, m), 2.41 (1H, m), 2.07 (1H, m), 1.91 (2H, m), 1.83 (1H, ddd, J's = 13.4, 13.4 and 4.4 Hz), 1.72 (1H, m), 0.86(9H, s), 0.14 (3H, s), 0.06 (3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 202.50, 199-198 (m), 142.46, 109.75, 97.14, 95.10, 92.63, 82.40, 75.67, 49.83, 42.48, 39.61, 32.62, 25.65, 18.79, 18.18, -2.83, -3.23. HRMS calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>6</sub>SiC<sub>02</sub> (M+-2CO) 544.0162. Found: m/e 544.0191.

13-Keto-5-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.3.1] tridec-6,10diyn-8-ene 17. To a solution of 23 (5.9 g) in dry THF (700 mL) under argon was added a solution of iodine (50 g) in THF (500 mL) via canula. The resulting mixture was stirred for 2.5 h at 20 °C (protected from light). The solution was poured into aqueous sodium thiosulfate (200 mL, 1M), saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (200 mL) and extracted with ether (3 x 200 mL). The organic layers were washed with saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (200 mL) to remove the pink coloration. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo at 20 °C and the residue dissolved in ether/pentane (1:4), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel eluting with 10% ether/pentane gave 17 (2.53 g, 82%). M.p. 43-46 °C (from aqueous EtOH). IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 2958, 2930, 2858, 1734, 1462, 1348, 1152, 1098, 952 and 780 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV (MeOH)  $\lambda_{max}$  201 and 274 nm ( $\epsilon$ , 3600 and 7600). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  5.398 (1H, dd, J's = 9.50, 0.9 and 2.0 Hz), 5.348 (1H, dd, J's = 9.5 and 1.1 Hz), 3.04 (1H, ddd, J's = 1.1,

0.9, 17.5 and 3.8 Hz), 2.47 (1H, m), 2.23 (1H, ddd, J's = 13.8, 8.4 and 5.7 Hz), 2.06 (1H, m), 1.89 (1H, ddd, J's = 2.0, 4.5 and 17.5 Hz), 1.80 (1H, ddd, J's = 13.8, 8.7 and 7.3 Hz), 1.65 (1H, m), 1.17 (1H, m), 1.12 (9H, s), 0.43 (3H, s), 0.49 (3H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  204.32, 124.49, 121.35, 100.25, 97.53, 91.57, 83.48, 74.33, 48.35, 36.85, 25.89, 24.50, 24.21, 18.79, 18.36, -2.98, -3.14. HRMS calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>26</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si 314.1702. Found: m/e 314.1698.

13-Keto-5-[*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-6,10diyn-(10,11- $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl)-8-ene 23. To a solution of 26 (17 mg, 2.3 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mol) and 2,6-di-*t*-butyl-4-methylpyridine (100 mg) in dichloromethane (5 mL) under argon at -30 °C was added triflic anhydride (8  $\mu$ L, 4.7 x 10<sup>-5</sup> mmol). The reaction mixture was allowed to warm up to -20 °C where it was stirred for 30 minutes then poured into cold aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, extracted with dichloromethane, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated *in vacuo*. Chromatography of the residue over silica gel eluting with 10% ether/hexanes afforded 23 (10.8 mg, 77%).

12-Keto-4-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.2.1]didec-5.9diyn-(9,10- $\eta^2$ -dicobalthexacarbonyl)-7-ene 30. To a stirred solution of the alcohol 29 (30 mg, 0.042 mmol) in dry dichloromethane (4 mL), under argon, at -15 °C, was added dropwise via syringe a solution of 2,6-di-t-butyl-4-methylpyridine  $(260 \text{ mg}, \text{ in } 0.5 \text{ mL of } CH_2Cl_2)$  followed by trifluoromethyl sulfonic anhydride (0.10)mL, 0.59 mmol). The resulting red-brown solution was stirred at -10 °C for 20 min and quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (4 mL). The dichloromethane layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by chromatography over silica gel eluting with ether/petrol (1:20) to give the bicyclo[7.2.1]diynene 30 (14.4 mg, 59%) as a red-brown solid. M.p. 99-101 °C (dec). IR (CHCl3) 2961, 2931, 2894, 2859, 2090, 2057, 2031, 1760, 1647, 1471, 1295, 1219 and 1108 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.16 (2H, q, J = 10.4 Hz), 4.05 (1H, dd, J's = 17.2 and 3.0 Hz), 3.66 (1H, dd, J's = 17.2 and 7.4 Hz), 2.61-2.57 (1H, m), 2.29 (1H, dd, J's = 10.9 and 5.3 Hz), 2.14-1.92 (3H, m), 0.90 (9H, s), 0.21 (3H, s), 0.19 (3H, s). 13C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 206.88, 201-198, 140.50, 107.39, 95.65, 95.47, 90.29, 81.80, 76.83, 44.35, 39.87, 37.09, 25.71, 21.73, 18.08, -3.23, -3.28. Anal Calcd for C24H24C02O8Si. C, 49.16; H, 4.13. Found: C, 49.17; H, 4.16%.

12-Keto-4-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-bicyclo[7.2.1]didec-5,9diyn-7-ene 31. The  $\eta^2$ -Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>-adduct 30 (28 mg, 0.048 mmol) in dry THF (3 mL) under argon at 0 °C was treated, via cannula, with a solution of iodine (184 mg, 0.72 mmol) in THF (2 mL). The resulting solution was warmed to 20°C. After 2 h the mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> solution (10 mL), aqueous sodium thiosulfate solution (10 mL, 1.0 M) and ether (20 mL). The organic phase was washed with saturated brine (10 mL), dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and evaporated *in vacuo*. The residue was chromatographed over silica gel eluting with ether/petrol (1:20) to give **31** (11.8 mg, 82%) as a colorless oil. IR (neat) 2956, 2929, 2857, 2211, 1761, 1472, 1462, 1295, 1249 and 1209 cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV (CHCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\lambda_{max}$  ( $\epsilon$ ) 274 (5580) nm. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  5.83 (2H, q, J = 9.8 Hz), 3.08 (1H, q, J = 4.4 Hz), 2.57-2.26 (4H,m), 2.06-1.89 (2H, m), 0.89 (9H,s), 0.21 (3H,s), 0.23 (3H,s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  208.91, 123.57, 121.46, 99.04, 95.40, 94.01, 83.15, 76.45, 45.22, 37.59, 25.74, 21.46, 21.08, 18.09, -3.04, -3.13. HRMS calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>24</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>) 300.1546. Found: m/e 300.1533.

13-Keto-5-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-128-hydroxy-2-8-thiophenylbicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-6,10-diyn-(10,11-n<sup>2</sup>-dicobalthexacarbonyl)-8ene 44. Redistilled thiophenol (1.06 mL, 10.4 mmol) was added slowly to a solution of trimethylaluminum (2 M in hexanes, 5.2 mL, 10.4 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (21 mL) stirred at 0 °C under argon. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 20 minutes after which time anhydrous THF (845  $\mu$ L, 10.4 mmol) was added. The mixture was then cooled to -78 °C and stirred for 10 minutes. The aldehyde 43 (3.19 g, 5.2 mmol) in anhydrous dichloromethane (10 mL; stirred over 4Å molecular sieves for 24 hours prior to cyclization) was added dropwise over 5 minutes and the mixture stirred for a further 10 minutes at -78 °C. Finally, titanium tetra-isopropoxide (12.36 mL, 41.5 mmol, 8 eq.) was added dropwise over 15 minutes. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 10 minutes, after which time the cold bath was replaced by an ice-water bath. The mixture was allowed to reach +10 °C over 2 hours and was stirred for a further 45 minutes at +10 °C after which time TLC showed almost all the starting material converted to product 44. The reaction mixture was then recooled to -78 °C and silica gel (40 g) added slowly over 10 minutes via a solid addition funnel. The argon line was removed and the mixture stirred for a further 45 minutes in air. The mixture was then filtered through a plug of silica and the plug washed with diethyl ether. The filtrate was concentrated in vacuo and the residues purified by flash column chromatography (silica; hexanesdiethyl ether, 95:5, 90:10 then 80:20) to give the cyclized material 44 (2.66 g, 71 %). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42 (2 H, d, J = 7.3 Hz), 6.98 (3 H, m), 6.34 (1 H, d, J = 10 Hz), 5.22 (1 H, d, J = 10 Hz), 5.17 (1 H, m), 4.20 (1 H, br. s), 3.11 (1 H, d, J = 9.2 Hz), 2.47 (1 H, m), 2.02 (2 H, m), 1.62 (1H, m), 1.25 (1 H, d, J = 7.4 Hz), 1.06 (9 H, s), 0.39 (3 H, s), 0.34 (3 H, s). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3500 (br s), 2929 (s), 2094 (vs), 2059 (vs), 2030 (vs), 1731 (s), 1471 (m), 1251 (m), 1155 (m), 1070 (m), 838 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Calculated for C31H30O9SSiCo2 : C, 51.39; H, 4.17. Found C, 51.19; H, 4.26 %.

13-Keto-5-[tert-butyldimethylsilyl(oxy)]-12β-hydroxy-bicyclo [7.3.1]tridec-6,10-diyn-1.8-diene 45. The sulfide 44 (849 mg, 1.2 mmol) was dissolved in dichloromethane (50 mL) and cooled to -78 °C under argon. *m*-Chloroperbenzoic acid (242 mg, 1.4 mmol) was added in one portion and the cooling bath removed. Stirring was continued at room temperature for 3 hours before TLC (hexanes-ethyl acetate, 80:20) showed no starting material remaining. The reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (50 mL) and the aqueous layer extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate, concentrated under reduced pressure and the residues purified by flash column chromatography (Florisil/hexanes-diethyl ether, 80:20) to afford the cobalt complexed enone (466 mg, 64%).

The cobalt complex (468 mg, 0.76 mmol) was dissolved in acetone (50 mL) and cooled to -10 °C. Ceric ammonium nitrate was added in small portions until the solution turned a light orange color. The reaction mixture was diluted with ether (150 mL), washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution (200 mL) and dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate. Removal of the solvents under reduced pressure and purification of the residues by flash column chromatography (Florisil, hexanes-diethyl ether, 75:25) afforded the decomplexed enone **45** (190 mg, 76 %). M.p 123-124 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.39 (1 H, m), 5.87 (1 H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.84 (1H, d, J = 9.5 Hz), 5.24 (1 H, d, J = 10.5 Hz), 2.53 (2 H, m), 2.26 (1 H, m), 2.14 (1 H, m), 0.92 (9 H, s), 0.22 (3 H, s), 0.19 (3 H, s). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  197, 140, 137, 125, 123, 101, 96, 93, 88, 75, 69, 35, 26, 25, 18, -2.8, -3.1. IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 3457 (m br), 3024 (m), 2959 (m), 2856 (m), 1698 (s), 1257 (s), 1170 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Calculated for C19H24O3Si: C, 69.47; H, 7.36. Found C, 69.56; H, 7.40 %.

N-(Carboadamantyloxy)-15-keto-13-methoxy-10-aza-14a,10a-benzo bicyclo[7.3.1]tridec-3,7-diyn-5-ene 53. Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhvdride (1.71 mL, 10.2 mmol) was added in a single portion to a stirred solution of the complex 51 (2.18 g, 2.54 mmol) and 2,6-di-tert-butyl-4-methylpyridine (3.13 g, 15.2 mmol) in 2-nitropropane (55 mL) at -10 °C. After stirring for 30 min at -10 °C the reaction was quenched by the addition of aqueous. saturated NaHCO3 and the layers separated. Extraction of the aqueous layer with 2-nitropropane (1 x 15 mL), drying of the combined organic layers (MgSO<sub>4</sub>), filtration and dilution with acetone (80 mL) gave an opaque red brown solution. After cooling to -10 °C, ceric ammonium nitrate (13.93 g, 25.4 mmol) was added portionwise over 3 to 4 min. After the initial gas evolution, the reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min at -10 °C, and diisopropylethylamine (8.85 mL, 50.8 mmol) was added. Elution of the reaction mixture through a short column of silica gel with 50:50-Et<sub>2</sub>O:CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> followed by evaporation gave a viscous brown oil. Flash chromatography (silica gel, neat  $CH_2Cl_2$ ) afforded 53 (0.59 g 53% yield) as a white amorphous solid. Recrystallization from Et<sub>2</sub>O/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> produced small white prisms. M.p 115-119 °C (dec.; rapid heating in

sealed capillary tube). R<sub>f</sub> 0.42 (2x30:70-ether:pentane). IR (CHCl<sub>3</sub>) 1733, 1696 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44 (d, J = 8 Hz, 1H), 6.81 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (s, 1H), 5.77 (s, 1H), 5.75 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 5.62 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 3.68 (m, 1H), 3.47 (d, J = 15 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (m, 1H), 2.13 (m, 9H), 1.64 (m, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  198.5 (e), 157.1 (e), 151.8 (e), 129.5 (e), 129.3 (e), 126.8 (e), 126.3 (e), 121.1 (o), 112.3 (o), 111.6 (o), 99.1 (e), 91.5 (e), 90.0 (e), 83.3 (e), 82.3 (e), 55.4 (o), 54.2 (o), 49.2 (o), 41.4 (e), 36.0 (e), 30.9 (o), 21.5 (e). Mass spec. (FAB) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>28</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>: 442.201, found 442.205; base peak 307, parent peak 442, 289, 154, 135.

Aldol Adduct 63. A solution of freshly distilled di-n-butylboron triflate (0.381 mL, 474 mg, 1.72 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL) was cooled to -78 °C Triethylamine (0.484 mL, 353 mg, 3.45 mmol) was added dropwise, under argon. maintaining the temperature below -70 °C. The mixture was stirred for 10 min, then brought to 0°C, and a solution of 62 (63 mg, 86 mmol) in dichloromethane (7.5 mL) When addition was was added using a syringe pump (rate of addition: 0.2ml/min). complete, the solution was brought to room temperature, and stirred for a further 2h. A second identical experiment was run simultaneously with the above, using di-nbutylboron triflate (0.365 mL, 454 mg, 1.64 mmol) and triethylamine (0.464 mL, 338 mg, 3.28 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL), and ketoaldehyde 62 (60 mg, 82 mmol) in dichloromethane (7.5 mL). Each reaction mixture was diluted with further dichloromethane, washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ , the solvents removed and the residue purified by preparative thin layer chromatography on silica (hexane-ether) to give the diastereomeric aldol products 63. These were combined, affording 32 mg and 35 mg of the less polar and more polar diastereomers respectively (in order of elution); overall combined yield, 57%. IR (CCl<sub>4</sub>) 2960, 2931, 2063, 2042, 2030, 1735, 1716, 1576, 1370, 1121, 810 cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H(300MHz; CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 0.30 and 0.35 (6H, 2s), 0.90, (9H, s), 1.30 (9H, s), 3.34 (1H, s), 3.60 (2H, m), 5.82 (1H, d, J = 5.8Hz), 6.23 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 6.48 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 7.44 (1H, s), 7.44 (1H, s= 5.4Hz). -OH not observed.  ${}^{13}C$  (75MHz; CD<sub>3</sub>OD) -2.70, -2.49, 18.84, 26.15, 27.72, 40.53, 66.54, 70.75, 74.81, 75.06, 76.15, 77.10, 89.07, 133.40, 163.41, 205.35. Second diastereomer: <sup>1</sup>H (300MHz; CD<sub>3</sub>OD) δ 0.25 and 0.30 (6H, 2s), 0.86 (9H, s), 1.30 (9H, s), 3.15 (1H, d, J = 7.1Hz), 3.55 and 3.60 (2H, 2d, J = 6Hz), 5.29 (1H, d, J = 7.1Hz), 6.19 (1H, s), 6.25 and 7.52 (2H, 2d, J = 5.4Hz).-OH not observed. <sup>13</sup>C (75MHz; CD<sub>3</sub>OD) -2.70, -2.42, 14.28, 18.80, 26.06, 27.20, 40.32, 64.92, 70.42, 74.56, 75.30, 76.09, 77.08, 77.76, 134.17, 162.49, 205.55,

**Decomplexed Aldol Adduct 65.** A solution of **63** (12 mg, 16.4 mmol, less polar diastereomer) in benzene (1 mL) at room temperature under argon was treated with iodine (21 mg, 82.2 mmol). After stirring for 1h, the reaction mixture was diluted with ether, washed with aqueous sodium thiosulfate, brine, dried  $(Na_2SO_4)$ ,

the solvents evaporated and the residue purified by column chromatography over silica (hexane-ether) to give the decomplexed product **65** (5 mg, 69%) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H (300MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.15 and 0.23 (6H, 2s), 0.95 (9H, s), 1.26 (9H, s), 3.44-3.52 (1H, m), 3.65 (1H, d, J = 12Hz), 4.16 (1H, d, J = 12Hz), 5.07 (1H, d, J = 1.8Hz), 5.53 (1H, s), 6.21 (1H, d, J = 5.4Hz), 7.79 (1h, d, J = 5.4Hz). -OH not observed). Found: M<sup>+</sup>, 445.2018. C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>6</sub>Si requires M, 445.2046.

A solution of **63** (7.2 mg, 9.86 mmol; more polar diastereomer) in benzene (0.75 mL) at room temperature under argon was treated with iodine (12.5 mg, 49.3 mmol). After stirring for 1h, the reaction mixture was diluted with ether, washed with aqueous sodium thiosulfate, brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), the solvents evaporated and the residue purified by column chromatography on silica (hexane-ether) to give the decomplexed product **65** (3.3 mg, 75%) as an off-white solid. <sup>1</sup>H (300MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  0.18 and 0.22 (6H, 2s), 0.98 (9H,s), 1.30 (9H, s), 3.45-3.55 (2H, m), 4.05 (1H, d, J 12Hz), 5.03 (1H, d, J 12Hz), 5.44 (1H, s), 6.17 (1H, d, J 5.4Hz), 7.81 (1H, d, J 5.4Hz).-OH not observed.

### References and Footnotes.

 Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Seigel, M. M.; Chang, C. C.; Morton, G. O.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3464. Lee, M. D.; Dunne, T. S.; Chang, C. C.; Ellestad, G. A.; Seigel, M. M.; Morton, G. O.; McGahren, W. J.; Borders, D. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3466. Lee, M. D.; Ellestad, G. A.; Borders, D. B. Acc. Chem. Res. 1991, 24, 235.
Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenwold, G.; Kawaguchi, M; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.; Doyle, T.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3462: Golik, J.; Clardy, J.; Dubay, G.;Groenewold, G.; Kawaguchi, H.; Konishi, M.; Krishnan, B.; Ohkuma, M.; Saitoh, K.; Doyle, T.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3461. Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Saitoh, K.; Doyle, T.W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 3461. Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, M.; Saitoh, K.; Mawaguchi, H.; Golik, J.; Dubay, G.; Groenewold, G.; Krishnan, B.; Doyle, T.W. J. Antibiot. 1985, 38, 1605.

3. Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Matsumoto, K.; Tsuno, T.; Kamei, H.; Miyaki, T.; Oki, T.; Kawaguchi, H.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. J. Antibiot. 1989, 42, 1449. Konishi, M.; Ohkuma, H.; Tsuno, T.; Oki, T; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3715. Langley, D. R.; Doyle, T. W.; Beveridge, D. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 4395. Synthetic studies see:-Porco, J. A. Jr.; Schoenen, F. J.; Stout, T. J.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 7410. Nicolaou, K. C.; Hwang, C.-K.; Smith, A. L.; Wendeborn, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7416. Nicolaou, K. C.; Smith, A. L.; Hwang, C.-K.; Wendeborn, S. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3114. Wender, P. A.; Zercher, C. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 2311. Wood, J. D.; Porco, J. A. Jr; Taunton, J.; Lee, A. Y.; Clardy, J.; Schreiber, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 5898. Isobe, M.; Nishikawa, T.; Yamamoto, N.; Tsukiyama, T.; Ino. A.; Okita, T. J. Heterocyclic Chem. 1992, 29, 619. For calculations concerning the rate of diyl formation see: Snyder, J.

P.; Tipword, G. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4040. Semmelhack, M. F.; Gallagher, J.; Cohen, D, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1521.

Napier, M. A.; Holmquist, B.; Strydom, D. J.; Goldberg, I. H. Biochem. Biophys. Res. 4. Commun. 1979, 89, 635. Koide, Y.; Ishii, F.; Hasuda, K.;Koyama, Y.; Edo, K.; Katamine, S.; Kitame, F.; Ishida, N. J. Antibiot., 1980, 33, 342. Myers, A. G. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 4493. For synthetic studies on the bicyclo[7.3.0]dodecadiyne core see:-Myers, A. G.; Harrington, P. M.; Kuo, E. Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 694. Wender, P. A.; McKinney, J. A.; Mukai, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 5369. Wender, P. A.; Harmata, M.; Jeffrey, D.; Mukai, C.; Suffert, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 909. Hirama, M.; Fujiwara, K.; Shigematu, K.; Fukazawa, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, Fujiwara, K.; Kurisaki, A.; Hirama, M, Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 4329. 4120. Leet, J. E.; Schroeder, D. R.; Hofstead, S. J.; Golik, J.; Colson, K. L.; Huang, S.; Klohr, 5. S. E.; Doyle, T. W.; Matson, J. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 7946. Zein, N.; Sinha, A. M.; McGahren, W. J.; Ellestad, G. A. Science, 1988, 240, 1198. 6. Long, B. H.; Golik, J.; Forenza, S.; Ward, B.; Rehfuss, R.; Dabrowiak, J. C.; Catino, J. J.; Musial, S. T.; Brookshire, K. W.; Doyle, T. W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1989, 86, 2. Kishikawa, H.; Jiang, Y-P.; Goodisman, J.; Dabrowiak, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 5434. Zein, N.; Poncin, M.; Nilakantan, R.; Ellestad, G. A. Science, 1989, 244, 697. Hawley, R. C.; Kiessling, L.L.; Schreiber, S.L. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1989, 86, 1105. 7. Haseltine, J. N.; Cabal, M. P.; Mantlo, N. B.; Iwasawa, N.; Yamashita, D. S.; Coleman, R. S.; Danishefsky, S. J.; Schulte, G. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 3850. Danishefsky, S. J.; Mantlo, N. B.; Yamashita, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6890. Cabal, M. P.; Coleman, R. S.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3253. For other papers from this group, see:- Mantlo, N. B.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2781. Haseltine, J. N.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 7638. Danishefsky, S. J.; Yamashita, D. S.; Mantlo, N. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4681. Kende, A. S.; Smith, C. A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 29, 4217. Schreiber, S. L.; Kiessling, L. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 631. Schreiber, S. L.; Kiessling, L. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, Schoenen, F. J.; Porco, J. A., Jr.; Schreiber, S. L.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. 30. 433. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3765. Nicolaou, K. C.; Zuccarello, G.; Ogawa, K.; Schweiger, E. J.; Kumazawa, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 4866. Nicolaou, K. C.; Ogawa, Y.; Zuccarello, G.; Kataoka, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7247. For a review article see-Nicolaou, K. C.; Dai, W.-M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1991, 1387. Nicolaou, K. C.; Hummel, C. W.; Pitsinos, E. N.; Nakada, M.; Smith, A. L.; Shibayama, K.; Saimoto, H. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1992, 114, 10082. Nicolaou, K. C.; Smith, A. L., Acc. Chem. Res. 1992, 25, 497. Maier, M. E. Brandstetter, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 7511.

 Jones, R. R.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 660. Bergman, R. G. Acc. Chem. Res. 1973, 6, 25. Lockhart, T. P.; Comita, P. B.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4082. Lockhart, T. P.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1981, 103, 4091. Darby, N; Kim, C. U.; Salaun, J. A.; Shelton, K. W.; Takeda, S.; Masamune, S. J. Chem. Soc. 1971, 1516. Wong, H. N. C.; Sondheimer, F. Tetrahedron Lett., 1980, 21, 217.

9. Nicholas, K.M.; Pettit, R. Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 3475. Nicholas, K. M.; Nestle, M. O.; Seyferth, D., "Transition Metal Organometallics in Organic Synthesis", Ed. Alper, H., Academic Press, New York, 1978, Vol.2. The propargyl cation chemistry

has recently been reviewed: Nicholas, K. M., Acc. Chem. Res. 1987, 20, 207. Nicholas, K. M.; Mulraney, M.; Bayer, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 2508. Schreiber, S. L.; Sammakia, T.; Crowe, W. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 3128. Schreiber, S. L.; Klimas, M. Y.; Sammakia, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 5749. Montana, A. M.; Nicholas, K. M.; Khan, M. A. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 22, 5193.

10. Magnus, P.; Carter, P. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 1626.

11. Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T.; Huffman, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 6921. Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T.; Bennett, F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Comm. 1989, 916. Magnus, P.; Lewis, R. T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 1905. Magnus, P.; Bennett, F. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3637. Magnus, P.; Carter, P.; Elliott, J.; Lewis, R.; Harling, J.; Pitterna, T.; Bauta, W. E.; Fortt, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2544. Magnus, P.; Lewis, R.; Bennett, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1992, 114, 2560. Tomioka, K.; Fujita, H.; Koga, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 851. For a recent extension of the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -acetylene methodology see:- Maier, M. E.; Brandstetter, T. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 3679. Maier, M. E.; Greiner, B. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 855.

12. Magnus. P.; Fortt, S.; Pitterna, T.; Snyder, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 4986.

13. For very recent examples of the stereoselective aldol reaction of  $Co_2(C0)_6$ acetylene aldehyde complexes see-Ju, J.; Reddy, B.B.; Khan, M.; Nicholas, K.M. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5426. Mukai, C.; Nagami, K.; Hanaoka, M. Tetrahedron Letters. 1989, 30, 5623 and 5627. Synthesis of the 12 $\beta$ -hydroxybicyclo[7.3.1]tridecadiynene core structure, Magnus, P.; Annoura, H.; Harling, J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1709.

14. Kadow, J. F.; Saulnier, M. G.; Tun, M. M.; Langley, D. R.; Vyas, D. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 3499. Kadow, J. F.; Tun, M. M.; Vyas, D. M.; Wittman, M. D.; Doyle, T. W. Tetrahedron Lett. 1992, 33, 1423. Unpublished results of Dr. Didier Grandjean from UT Austin.

15. The η<sup>2</sup>Co<sub>2</sub>(CO)<sub>6</sub>-mediated approach see: Magnus, P.; Fortt, S. M. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1991, 544.

16. Miyashita, N.; Yoshikoshi, A.; Grieco, P. A. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3772.

17. Unpublished results, Dr. David Parry, Theodore Iliadis, Shane Eisenbeis and Dr. Robin Fairhurst, UT Austin.

18. For the  $\eta^2 Co_2(CO)_6$ -mediated approach see: Magnus, P.; Pitterna, T. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Comm. 1991, 541.

19. Magnus, P.; Davies, M. J. C. S. Chem. Comm. 1991, 1522.